

Number of Days with Air Quality Index Values Greater than 100 at Trend Sites, 1999-2010, and All Sites in 2010, PM2.5 Only

Core Based Statistical Area	Trend sites ^a	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	All sites active in 2010 ^b	2010 using all sites
Akron, OH	3	9	5	13	10	3	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	4	0
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	5	0
Albuquerque, NM	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ	2	0	4	11	13	5	2	6	2	2	0	1	0	6	2
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA	7	28	13	18	0	7	6	5	1	7	2	1	2	21	2
Bakersfield, CA	5	45	48	38	63	21	27	28	27	39	47	40	17	8	17
Baltimore-Towson, MD	7	1	7	14	10	13	7	6	6	2	0	1	0	18	0
Baton Rouge, LA	7	1	4	2	6	1	1	0	4	2	1	0	2	11	2
Birmingham-Hoover, AL	8	40	33	22	7	6	11	25	11	24	4	0	0	28	0
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH	9	6	0	3	11	8	1	4	1	3	0	0	1	29	1
Bradenton-Sarasota-Venice, FL	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk, CT	4	0	3	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	1	0	1	7	1
Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	3	1	0	4	3	1	0	3	0	2	0	0	1	11	2
Charleston-North Charleston, SC	3	0	3	0	2	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	10	0
Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC	3	0	2	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IL-IN-WI	25	14	22	36	9	9	12	11	2	7	0	1	5	47	5
Cincinnati-Middletown, OH-KY-IN	8	9	14	14	14	9	3	5	0	1	0	0	2	23	2
Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH	7	27	17	22	12	11	13	7	1	2	1	0	1	22	1
Columbia, SC	4	1	1	0	2	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Columbus, OH	3	8	9	9	9	6	1	3	0	2	1	0	0	4	0
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	4	0	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0
Dayton, OH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	1
Denver-Aurora, CO	4	1	0	7	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	14	0
Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI	10	14	12	20	10	12	4	13	5	1	0	1	0	15	0
El Paso, TX	2	0	2	3	5	6	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	18	6
Fresno, CA	4	57	50	43	60	29	23	33	23	57	33	26	16	6	16
Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI	2	1	3	7	4	4	3	9	3	0	0	0	1	3	1
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC	2	0	2	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	1
Harrisburg-Carlisle, PA	1	3	11	8	9	7	4	5	5	1	2	0	0	4	1
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT	1	2	0	2	4	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Honolulu, HI	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	0
Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX	4	0	1	3	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	34	0
Indianapolis-Carmel, IN	6	8	5	7	5	4	1	15	1	3	0	0	0	13	0
Jacksonville, FL	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	7	0
Kansas City, MO-KS	7	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	23	1
Knoxville, TN	5	5	15	10	3	3	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	8	0
Las Vegas-Paradise, NV	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	18	1
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA	7	31	41	56	47	34	30	22	10	25	8	7	3	25	8
Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	5	9	6	10	14	6	2	9	2	4	0	0	2	15	2
Madison, WI	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	4	1	0	2	4	2
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Memphis, TN-MS-AR	3	2	4	3	2	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	12	1
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL	6	6	4	1	2	0	2	0	0	7	1	0	1	20	1
Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI	6	7	3	8	1	1	4	4	3	3	3	3	2	14	2
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	9	0	6	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	35	4
Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin, TN	6	5	3	0	4	2	0	11	0	4	0	0	0	7	0
New Haven-Milford, CT	3	1	2	2	1	4	2	3	1	0	2	0	1	12	1
New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	36	0
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	21	6	10	14	13	10	8	10	6	6	1	2	2	87	2
Oklahoma City, OK	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA	5	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	11	1
Orlando-Kissimmee, FL	4	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	8	0
Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA	5	2	4	6	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	0
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD	12	6	8	15	10	8	3	5	8	5	2	0	1	38	1
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	4	0	4	2	4	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	1	19	1
Pittsburgh, PA	11	21	30	48	38	37	39	46	35	36	15	10	19	26	34
Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, OR-WA	3	3	5	2	5	0	3	2	0	5	0	3	0	27	0
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	0
Providence-New Bedford-Fall River, RI-MA	2	3	0	1	3	3	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	17	1
Raleigh-Cary, NC	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	7	0
Richmond, VA	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	0
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	9	42	58	91	69	56	38	25	22	24	10	4	4	23	8
Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville, CA	4	31	13	13	18	4	4	9	6	9	6	1	0	10	1
St. Louis, MO-IL	9	9	7	5	7	4	2	12	0	2	1	1	2	34	3
Salt Lake City, UT	2	11	12	22	22	4	34	13	6	14	6	14	14	14	14
San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA	4	9	13	11	4	3	6	2	1	7	0	1	0	8	1
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA	5	11	10	9	17	5	5	4	4	4	1	1	0	15	0
San Juan-Caguas-Guaynabo, PR	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Scranton-Wilkes-Barre, PA	1	1	1	2	12	3	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	3	0
Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA	8	5	17	11	13	5	3	6	6	8	3	8	0	53	0
Springfield, MA	2	3	2	4	7	6	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	9	1
Stockton, CA	1	15	10	5	10	4	1	4	3	8	8	2	0	2	1
Syracuse, NY	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	15	0
Toledo, OH	2	7	3	6	5	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	1
Tucson, AZ	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Tulsa, OK	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	3	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	10	0	0	7	0
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV	9	4	12	13	10	6	3	4	3	3	2	1	2	24	5
Wichita, KS	5	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Worcester, MA	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	3	7	3	16	5	5	0	7	0	3	2	1	0	6	0

Data from exceptional events are included.

a. The counts are based on sites having an adequate record of monitoring data during the trend period (trend sites). These counts represent the relative change in the number of days with AQI values greater than 100.

b. In the last column, the counts are based on all sites with data in the most recent year (because it is possible for a site to have data in the most recent year but not enough data to be a trend site).