Carbon Monoxide Air Quality Data Update 2006-2007 Design Values

The following is a brief summary of EPA's 2007 air quality update for carbon monoxide (CO) based on ambient monitoring data for the two year period, 2006-2007. During this two year period:

- All of the original 42 areas designated nonattainment for the 8-hour CO NAAQS in 1991 met the CO NAAQS in 2006-2007 (Table 1).
- However, 1 additional area failed to meet the CO NAAQS in 2006-2007 (Table 2).

EPA's National Ambient Air Quality Standard for carbon monoxide is 9 parts per million (ppm) non-overlapping 8-hour average concentration not to be exceeded more than once per year. The CO standard is not met at a monitoring site if there are two or more exceedances of the level of the CO NAAQS in either of the two most recent calendar years of monitoring data.

Air quality data from EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) were used to calculate carbon monoxide design values. The specific calculations are explained in footnotes to the attached tables. The data used for these calculations were obtained from AQS on July 8, 2008. As of August 26, 2008, no regulatory decisions on attainment status have been made for any area based on these specific calculations. For information concerning these data, contact:

Rhonda Thompson U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Air Quality Analysis Group (C304-04) Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 (919) 541-5538, (919) 541-3613 (FAX) Thompson.Rhonda@epa.gov

Table 1. Areas previously designated nonattainment for the 8-hour Carbon Monoxide NAAQS, 2006-2007

| | | | | | <u> 2006-</u> | <u>Met</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | | | <u>2007</u> | NAAQS |
| Designated Auga | C4040 | EPA | Classification | <u>Designation</u> | Design | <u>2006-</u> |
| <u>Designated Area</u> | State | Region | <u>Classification</u> | <u>Status</u> | Value (1) | <u>2007?</u> |
| Albuquerque | NM | 6 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.4 | Yes |
| Anchorage | AK | 10 | Serious | Maintenance | 6.1 | Yes |
| Baltimore | MD | 3 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.3 | Yes |
| Boston | MA | 1 | Moderate | Maintenance | 1.7 | Yes |
| Chico | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.6 | Yes |
| Cleveland | OH | 5 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.9 | Yes |
| Colorado Springs | CO | 8 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.4 | Yes |
| Denver-Boulder | CO | 8 | Serious | Maintenance | 3.1 | Yes |
| Duluth | MN | 5 | Moderate | Maintenance | 1.5 | Yes |
| El Paso | TX | 6 | Moderate | Nonattainment | 3.8 | Yes |
| Fairbanks North Star Borough | AK | 10 | Serious | Maintenance | 3.7 | Yes |
| Fort Collins | CO | 8 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.7 | Yes |
| Fresno | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.2 | Yes |
| Grant Pass | OR | 10 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.4 | Yes |
| Hartford - New Britain - Middletown | CT | 1 | Moderate | Maintenance | 4.0 | Yes |
| Klamath Falls | OR | 10 | Moderate | Maintenance | (2) | (2) |
| Lake Tahoe S. Shore | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.7 | (3) |
| Las Vegas | NV | 9 | Serious | Nonattainment | 5.0 | Yes |
| Longmont | CO | 8 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.3 | Yes |
| Los Angeles South Coast Air Basin | CA | 9 | Serious | Maintenance | 5.6 | Yes |
| Medford | OR | 10 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.8 | Yes |
| Memphis | TN | 4 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.5 | Yes |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul | MN | 5 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.5 | Yes |
| Missoula | MT | 8 | Moderate | Nonattainment | 2.4 | Yes |
| Modesto | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.7 | Yes |
| New York-N. New Jersey-Long Island | NJ | 2 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.9 | Yes |
| Ogden | UT | 8 | Moderate | Maintenance | 5.7 | Yes |
| Philadelphia-Camden Co | PA | 3 | Moderate | Maintenance | 5.5 | Yes |

| Phoenix | AZ | 9 | Serious | Maintenance | 4.6 | Yes |
|--------------------------------|----|----|----------|---------------|-----|-----|
| Portland-Vancouver | OR | 10 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.7 | Yes |
| Provo-Orem | UT | 8 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.2 | Yes |
| Raleigh-Durham | NC | 4 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.5 | Yes |
| Reno | NV | 9 | Moderate | Nonattainment | 3.3 | Yes |
| Sacramento | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 4.1 | Yes |
| San Diego | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 4.8 | Yes |
| San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.7 | Yes |
| Seattle-Tacoma | WA | 10 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.4 | Yes |
| Spokane | WA | 10 | Serious | Maintenance | 4.1 | Yes |
| Stockton | CA | 9 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.2 | Yes |
| Syracuse | NY | 2 | Moderate | Maintenance | 1.4 | Yes |
| Washington | DC | 3 | Moderate | Maintenance | 3.3 | Yes |
| Winston-Salem | NC | 4 | Moderate | Maintenance | 2.4 | Yes |

Notes:

- 1. The level of the 8-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standard for carbon monoxide is 9 parts per million (ppm) not to be exceeded more than once per year. The design value for the 8-hour CO NAAQS is the highest annual second maximum non-overlapping 8-hour concentration during the most recent two years.
- 2. Klamath Falls was redesignated to attainment for the 8-hour CO NAAQS on September 20, 2001. In 2005, with the approval of EPA's Region 10 office, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) discontinued CO monitoring in the Klamath Falls maintenance area after recording values well below standard the previous three years. The Region 10 approval of the discontinuation of the Klamath Falls CO monitor requires that the ODEQ periodically reassess the need for CO monitoring data to verify compliance with the standard. ODEQ has agreed in their annual network monitoring plan to track CO emission inventories every three years to determine if additional CO monitoring is needed.
- 3. Responsibility for the operation of the monitoring site representing the Lake Tahoe South Shore maintenance area was transferred from the California Air Resources Board to the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection in 2006. The data incompleteness for 2006 is a result of some administration difficulties in transferring this responsibility. SOURCE: U.S. EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) as of July 08, 2008.

Table 2. Additional areas failing to meet the 8-hour Carbon Monoxide NAAQS, 2006-2007

| | | <u>EPA</u> | <u>2006-2007</u> |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| State | County | Region | <u>Design Value ⁽¹⁾</u> |
| Alabama | Jefferson (2) | 4 | 9.5 |

Notes:

- 1. The level of the 8-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standard for carbon monoxide is 9 parts permillion (ppm) not to be exceeded more than once per year. The design value for the 8-hour CO NAAQS is the highest annual second maximum non-overlapping 8-hour concentration during the most recent two years.
- 2. The monitor from which these data are taken is located directly across the street from, and is impacted by a stationary source. The monitor continues to operate for compliance and enforcement reasons. There are ongoing enforcement/compliance actions by the Jefferson County Department of Health, including Title V permit revisions, to address this situation.

SOURCE: U.S. EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) as of July 08, 2008.